

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/660,988	09/12/2003	Frank Camaioni	402-191	6098
7.	590 10/28/200	•	EXAM	INER
Mark P. Stone			CHIN SHUE, ALVIN C	
4th Floor 25 Third Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Stamford, CT 06905			3634	
			DATE MAILED: 10/28/200-	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/660,988	CAMAIONI, FRANK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Alvin C. Chin-Shue	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		1 300()				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_·					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers		·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(a)						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					

Application/Control Number: 10/660,988

Art Unit: 3634

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 2

Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The preamble of the claims stated that only the extension is being claimed, therefore limitations with respect to the conventional ladder, e.g. a greater width than the conventional ladder, etc. renders the claims indefinite, furthermore, how can claim 12 depends form claim 11 which recites that the extension has a greater width than the conventional ladder?

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-7, and 11-16,18-20 as understood and assuming to be definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Brugner. Brugner shows an extension 29. The extension is capable of being used with conventional ladders of different widths, e.g., conventional ladders having a width less than or equal to the width of Brugner's extension.

Application/Control Number: 10/660,988

Art Unit: 3634

Claims 1-10, and 11-20 as understood and assuming to be definite, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by GB pat. '236 to Lee. Lee shows an extension 10. The extension is capable of being used with conventional ladders having a width equal to the width of Lee's extension.

Claim 12 as understood and assuming to be definite, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Okie et al. Okie in fig.11 shows an extension with rung engaging means 40,10.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-10 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Brugner in view of Bauer. Brugner shows the claimed extension with the exception of the support element. Bauer shows a support element at 70. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a support element, as taught by Bauer, to Brugner for bracing his extension against a vertical support.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin C. Chin-Shue whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/660,988

Art Unit: 3634

703-308-2475. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00

a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on 703-308-2686. The

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is

assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-

direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Alvin C. Chin-Shue

Page 4

Examiner

Art Unit 3634

ACS